



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/660,143

09/11/2003

Steven W. Githens

ROC920030276US1

4972

46797

7590

10/17/2008

IBM CORPORATION, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

DEPT 917, BLDG. 006-1

3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH

ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829

EXAMINER

NUNEZ, JORDANY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2175

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/17/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/660,143

Applicant(s)

GITHENS ET AL.

Examiner

Jordany Núñez

Art Unit

2175

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jordany Núñez.(3) Gero G. McClellan.(2) John C. Garza.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2 and 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: US20020156806 (Cox), US6928436 (Baudel).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant explained that claim 1 recites "the transformation rules support a plurality of graphics rendering languages." Examiner explained that (Baudel) teaches setting attributes depending on the model used, and that different models correspond to different graphics rendering languages. Thus, Baudel explicitly shows support of a plurality of graphic rendering languages.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jordany Núñez/
Examiner, Art Unit 2175